

REGISTERED LETTER with ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT

Robert Stockmann - Crash Recovery Kit Reigerstraat 18 Oosterhout, NB, 4901 AH NIEDERLANDE

E-mail: stock@sba.nl; stock@crashrecovery.org

 12/0869/1642/ral
 1 of 4
 Vienna, 25.9.2014

 Responsible: Dr. Gabriel Lansky
 EXT: 13
 lansky@lansky.at

Request for immediate removal of unlawful publications on http://crashrecovery.org/forrestal/

Dear Sir or Madam!

We hereby inform you that we represent the legal interests of **Dr. Grigory Luchansky** and would like to state the following:

I.

The URL with the headline "Issues concerning the Desire of a New World Order" and currently available on http://crashrecovery.org/forrestal/, contains various completely untrue and discrediting statements and false allegations against our client.

On the URL it says, relating to Nordex, a former enterprise of our client, there were "relationships with Russian organized crime".

II.

LANSKY, GANZGER + partner Rechtsanwälte GmbH

1010 Wien Rotenturmstraße 29/9 T: +43 1 533 33 30-0 F: +43 1 532 84 83 www.lansky.at

Sprechstelle Salzburg 5020 Salzburg Auerspergstraße 39/1 T: +43 662 873278

ADV-Code P130123 FN 214760z HG Wien UID: ATU 52816403 DVR: 0657794

Rechtsanwälte

Dr. Gabriel Lansky Dr. Gerald Ganzger Dr. Helena Marko, LL.M.

Dr. Mehmet Saim Akagündüz
Mag. Julia Andras
Dr. Andreas Bernegger
Dr. Stephan Eberhardt
Univ.-Doz. DDr. Alexander Egger
Mag. Ronald Frankl
Dr. Ronald Gingold
Mag. Dr. Christine Haager
MMag. Florian Hom
Univ.-Prof. Dr. Thomas Krüssmann, LL.M.
Dr. Sebastian Mesecke, MLE
Mag. Michael Staudacher, LL.M.
Mag. Heinz Templ, LL.M.
Tatiana Urdaneta-Wittek
RAK Saarland

Rechtsanwaltsanwärter

Dr. Julia Abermann
Mag. Melany Buchberger-Golabi
Mag. Luciano Duque-Cordero
Mag. Ronald Eppel, MA
MMag. Norbert Haslhofer
Mag. Viktoria Jevtic
MMag. Bertold Kemptner
Dr. Rainer A. Lassl
Mag. Franz Lippe
Dr. Robert Salfenauer
Mag. Eva Schmelz
MMag. Oliver Stauber
Mag. Piroska Vargha
Dr. Mathilde Wolff

Of counsel

Mgr. Stefan Holy RAK Bratislava Mag. Christian Lackner ao. Univ.-Prof. Dr. Hannes Tretter Kurt A. Wagner, JD, MBA RAK Washington Dc, Illinois Dipl. Jur. Anna Zeitlinger RAK Region Moskau

Bankverbindungen

UniCredit Bank Austria AG BLZ 12000, BKAUATWW Kanzleikonto: 0068-4141-005 IBAN: AT74 1200 0006 8414 1005 Fremdgeldkonto: 0068-4141-013 IBAN: AT52 1200 0006 8414 1013

BAWAG BLZ 14000, BAWAATWW Nr. 02010-716-716 IBAN: AT29 1400 0020 10 716 716 PSK BLZ 60000, OPSKATWW Nr. 7-357-354 IBAN: AT73 6000 0000 0735 7354 These <u>patently false</u> and <u>highly defamatory</u> as well as <u>discrediting</u> assertions are the result of <u>slanderous campaigns</u>, which business and political opponents of Dr. Luchansky have organized over the years and which have their roots in an <u>unjustified</u>, <u>politically motivated conviction</u> of our client in the <u>year 1982</u> (!) in the then-existing Soviet Union.

<u>All</u> defamatory and discrediting <u>assertions</u> with regard to Dr. Luchansky, which were spread in the <u>1990s</u>, have been <u>successfully refuted</u> by him through <u>court verdicts</u> in many states, including Great Britain and Austria. In that regard, we refer in particular to the judgments in the case of "Loutchansky v. Times Newspapers Ltd" of the British "**High Court of Justice in the Queen's Bench Division**" from the years 2001 and 2002, which clearly demonstrate, that the accusations of criminal activities, which have been directed against our client, are <u>completely untrue</u>. (see Point IV)

That is also the reason why in the <u>2000s</u> practically no new accusations of that nature can be found in the media. The untrue, defamatory and discrediting assertions – such as those in your medium – are as such fully based on these old, <u>by now fully refuted assertions</u>, are therefore untruthful, unfounded and **to be removed by you immediately**!

III.

Your assertions can, from a legal point of view, be qualified as serious <u>damage to reputation</u> and simultaneous <u>defamation of character</u>. This is because, according to case law, all conduct that damages another's honor is to be regarded as a defamation of character, particularly with regard to the accusation of criminal conduct, such as in your case.

You are namely spreading in an eye-catching fashion and to an unlimited, global readership, the false assertion, that our client is a criminal with a mafia background.

A so-called <u>danger to credit</u>, which also exists in the present case, applies to the extent that the spread assertions are likely to damage the credit, the business or the professional advancement of a person. This criterion, too, is without a doubt present with regard to the false assertions spread by you, not least because of the fact that, as was already mentioned, Dr. Luchansky is a renowned businessman, who operates internationally and with large amounts of money.

IV.

Our client can demonstrate the <u>falsehood of your assertions</u> through reference to many international <u>court verdicts</u>:

As an example, the Queen's Bench of the British High Court, in its judgment of 27 April 2001 (Case Reference [2001] EMLR 898) with regard to Dr. Luchansky explicitly ruled that:

- our client was at no point in time part of a criminal organization
- the assertion that our client had ties to the mafia has been refuted

Summing up the following allegations against our client respectively against his company have proven to be false:

- Dr. Luchansky is involved in criminal activities
- the company Nordex is involved in criminal activities
- Dr. Luchansky was involved in criminal offences such as fraud or breach of trust in 1983
- Dr. Luchansky is a Russian mafiaboss
- Dr. Luchansky is involved in money laundering
- the company Nordex is involved in smuggling of nuclear weapons

Your assertions therefore in no way compatible with the principle of <u>journalist care</u> and with comprehensive, balanced and truthful investigation.

The requirement of due care with regard to the truthfulness of disseminated assertions is namely strongly dependent on the degree to which the disseminator was able to <u>recognize</u> the specific <u>potential</u> of his conduct, <u>to damage</u> the economic position of the person affected. When one considers the very <u>serious assertions</u> that you have made, it cannot be doubted that this was strongly present in this case!

In addition, the **Queen's Bench of the British High Court** with another judgment of **26 November 2001** (Case [2002] EWHC) has <u>expressly ruled</u>, that our client, Dr. Luchansky, has suffered significant damages as a result of the defamatory assertions.

We therefore explicitly point out, that you as media owner are to <u>indemnify</u> our client for all damages that he suffers from erroneous and false reporting.

Because our client, as an entrepreneur, operates internationally, your reporting has a very negative influence on his global business, with correspondingly <u>high claims for damages</u> arising for your company as a result.

On the URL it says, relating to Nordex, a former enterprise of our client, there were "relationships with Russian organized crime". This statement contravenes the assumptions of the judgements, particularly the refuted statement,

the company Nordex was involved in criminal activities.

٧.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the publication concerning our client represents an unlawful act of data processing within the meaning of data protection law.

The European Court of Justice has in its key decision C-131/12 of 13 May 2014 declared that an act of data processing is unlawful irrespective of the question if the information is true or incorrect, if the information is outdated due to the period of elapsed time. The publication of personal data of our client represents an act of data processing within the meaning of the judgement.

Furthermore the relevant information in this case is outdated within the meaning of the judgement. This means, that in terms of data protection law it is not of relevance, if the relevant information is true, untrue or discrediting.

The incriminated passage is dated 2001, the supposed happenings would have occurred "several years ago".

VI.

Because the content that you have disseminated can be accessed worldwide through the internet, your unlawful conduct in each single state, from which your content is accessible and where as such damages have occurred, provides our client with a venue for separate legal proceedings against you, **should you not immediately remove the content at issue**.

These different venues and jurisdictions therefore provide us with <u>many possibilities</u> to initiate <u>criminal</u>, <u>civil</u> as well as <u>media-related</u> proceedings against you!

VII.

We therefore, on behalf of our client, expressly refer to the following legal rules:

1) Our claims are based on media law as well as on civil law. Please be informed that the

acts of "slander", "discrediting" and "defamation" are also covered by criminal law!

2) Besides the <u>criminal law-related consequences</u>, you may moreover be confronted with

claims for damages pursuant to the Media Act, which may lead to substantial

compensation payments for your company.

3) The Media Act also provides for the legally enforceable instrument of right of reply, with

which media owners can be ordered by the court to retract untrue statements and pay a

fine to those affected.

4) Your publications can additionally be considered acts of defamation and discrediting

under civil law, for which you as domain owner shall be held responsible before the civil

courts!

5) Notwithstanding the aforementioned, the statements have proven to represent unlawful

acts of data procession within the meaning of data protection law.

VIII.

On behalf of our client, we therefore request that you

- immediately remove the publication at issue and

- refrain from publishing identical or similar assertions in the future,

lest we are forced to initiate legal proceedings against you.

We moreover expressly state that our right to seek compensation as well as our right of reply

with regard to the statement at issue remains unaffected.

Kind regards,

Gabriel Lansky, Attorney at law

5